







Participatory Budgeting in UA: Kyiv case

Serhiy LOBOYKO

Center for Innovations Development

site: cid.center e-mail: loboyko@cid.center FB/Skype: loboyko





UA: Boom of Participatory Budgeting

1+ MLN involved citizens

150+ Cities
(during the last 4 years)



50+ Amalgamated hromadas (during the last 2 years)

1 Regional/oblast PB (model of the National PB?)



UA: voting methods



Electronic 1+10 local gov.s: Kyiv + 10







Mixed 100+ local gov.s

Paper 50+ local gov.s

High risk of falsification and loss of trust














Kyiv: Gov. supports E-Democracy



NO VOICE AGAINST from the City Council











Kyiv: e-Democracy

Participatory budget (2+ years)



200+ K

E-petitions

(4+ years)



E-appeals

(7+ years)



200+тис.

Civic Teams/Authors

(of PB, petitions)



1 000+

Active NGOs

(focused on Kyiv)



500+



DEVELOPMENT - 2018:



KyivID (e-Cabinet of citizen) e-Platform for eDem



Top-effective methods of engagement (research-2018 CEDOS, Council of Europe)



UA: which Model of PB is better?

PB MODEL: KYIV			
	UCRATIC OL OF AUTHORITIES	The state of the s	Center for I nnovations Development 2018 VIC UNDER THE CIVIC CONTROL, HORITIES ARE SERVICE PROVIDERS
PROJECT COMPETITION, ENGAGEMENT AT THE VOTING STAGE		PARTICIPATORY ENGAGEMENT AT THE EACH STAGE OF PB	
Year	Projects submitted	E-Voters= Citizens	Projects = Civic Teams*
Year 2016-17	_		
	submitted	Citizens	Civic Teams*

^{*} Projects implementation ratio >90%

